Y/UB-16

#952

UCC-ND

NUCLEAR DIVISION

UNION CARBIDE

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPOR
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OAK RIDGE FACILITIES

Calendar Year 1981

APPROVAL FOR RELEASE

Document: # Y/UB-16 ; Date 5/1/82

Title/Subject ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT US DOE OAK RIDGE FACILITIES, CY 1981

Approval for unrestricted release of this document is authorized by the Oak Ridge K-25 Site Classification and Information Control Office, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., PO Box 2003, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-7307.

A S Quist 12/28/92

K-25 Classification & Information Control Officer Date

OPERATED BY
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Printed in the United States of America. Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Paper Copy A04; Microfiche A01 (domestic); A11 (foreign)

Y/UB-16

Date of Issue: May 1, 1982

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OAK RIDGE FACILITIES

Calendar Year 1981

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION - NUCLEAR DIVISION

Office of Health, Safety, and Environmental Affairs
Post Office Box Y
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

INTRODUCTION 1

SUMMARY 3

MONITORING DATA - COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND EVALUATION

- Air Monitoring 4
- External Gamma Radiation Monitoring 7
- Water Monitoring 8
- Biological Monitoring 10
- Soil and Sediment Monitoring 15
- Calculation of Potential Radiation Dose to the Public 16

REFERENCES 59

APPENDIX A 61

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Air, Vegetation, and Soil Sampling Locations	5
2	Remote Air Monitoring Locations	6
3	Stream Monitoring Locations	9
4	Curies Discharged Over White Oak Dam	11
5	Percentage Concentration Guide Levels in The Clinch River	12
6	Immediate Environs Milk Sampling Locations	13
7	Remote Environs Milk Sampling Locations	14
8	Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant Sediment Sampling Locations	17
9	Meteorological Data for the Oak Ridge Reservation	18
10	Exposure Pathways	19
A1	Flow Chart of QA Program	62

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Incremental Population Table In The Vicinity of ORNL	22
2	Continuous Air Monitoring Data (Gross Beta Activity)	23
3	Continuous Air Monitoring Data (Gross Alpha Activity)	24
4	Continuous Air Monitoring Data (Specific Radionuclides)	25
5	Concentration of ^{131}I in Air Measured by the Perimeter Air Monitoring Stations	26
6	Discharges of Radioactivity to the Atmosphere	27
7	Air Monitoring Data - Fluorides	28
8	Air Monitoring Data - Suspended Particulates	29
9	Sulfur Dioxide Monitoring Data	30
10	External Gamma Radiation Measurements	31
11	Radionuclides of Primary Concern in Surface Streams	32
12	Uranium Concentration in Surface Streams	33
13	Discharges of Radioactivity to Surface Streams	34
14	Long-Lived Gross Beta Activity in Rainwater	35
15	Chemical Water Quality Data - White Oak Dam	36
16	Chemical Water Quality Data - Melton Hill Dam	37
17	Chemical Water Quality Data - ORGDP Sanitary Water Pumping Station	38
18	Chemical Water Quality Data - ORGDP Recirculating Water Pumping Station	39
19	Chemical Water Quality Data - Clinch River Downstream of ORGDP	40
20	Chemical Water Quality Data - East Fork Poplar Creek	41
21	Chemical Water Quality Data - Bear Creek	42
22	Chemical Water Quality Data - Poplar Creek Above Blair Bridge	43
23	Chemical Water Quality Data - Poplar Creek Near Clinch River	44

TABLE (contd.)

		PAGE
24	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Experience	45
25	Concentration of ^{131}I in Milk	48
26	Concentration of ^{90}Sr in Milk	49
27	Radionuclide Content in Clinch River Fish - Alpha Emitters	50
28	Radionuclide Content in Clinch River Fish - Beta Emitters	51
29	Mercury Content in Clinch River Fish	52
30	^{137}Cs Concentration in Deer Samples	53
31	Vegetation Sampling Data	54
32	Radioactivity in Grass Samples from Perimeter and Remote Monitoring Stations	55
33	Radioactivity in Soil Samples from Perimeter and Remote Monitoring Stations	56
34	Stream Sediment Samples	57
35	Summary of the Estimated Radiation Dose	58

INTRODUCTION

Oak Ridge is located in East Tennessee in a broad valley which lies between the Cumberland Mountains on the northwest and the Great Smoky Mountains on the southeast. The Department of Energy (DOE) Reservation is located in the Valley and Ridge physiographic province which is characterized by parallel ridges of sandstone, shale, and cherty dolomite, separated by valleys of less weather-resistant limestone and shale. The ridges are oriented southwest-northeast. Topography of the area is due to differential erosion of severely folded and faulted rocks ranging in age from Early Cambrian to Early Mississippian. Elevations range from 226 to 415 meters above mean sea level with a maximum relief of 189 meters. The area includes gently sloping valleys and rolling to steep slopes and ridges. The Tennessee Valley Authority's (TVA) Melton Hill and Watts Bar Reservoirs on the Clinch River form the southern and western boundaries of the Reservation while the City of Oak Ridge (approximately 28,000 population) is on the northern boundary.

The local climate is noticeably influenced by topography. Prevailing winds are usually either up-valley, from west to southwest, or down-valley, from east to northeast. During periods of light winds, daytime winds are usually southwesterly and nighttime winds usually northeasterly. Wind velocities are somewhat decreased by the mountains and ridges, and tornadoes rarely occur. In winter, the Cumberland Mountains have a moderating influence on the local climate by retarding the flow of cold air from the north and west. Temperatures of 38°C or higher and -18°C or below are unusual. Low-level temperature inversions occur during approximately 56 percent of the hourly observations. Winter and early spring are the seasons of heaviest precipitation with the monthly maximum normally occurring during January to March. The mean annual precipitation is approximately 137 centimeters.

The topography of the Oak Ridge area is such that all drainage from the DOE Reservation flows into the Clinch River which has its headwaters in southwestern Virginia and flows southwest to its mouth near Kingston, Tennessee. The Clinch River flow is regulated by several dams which provide reservoirs for flood control, electric power generation, and recreation. The principal tributaries through which liquid effluents from the plant areas reach the Clinch River are White Oak Creek, East Fork Poplar Creek, and Poplar Creek.

With the exception of the City of Oak Ridge, the land within 8 kilometers of the DOE Reservation is predominantly rural being utilized largely for residences, small farms, and pasturage for cattle. Fishing, boating, water skiing, and swimming are favorite recreational activities in the area. The approximate location and population of the towns nearest the DOE Reservation are: Oliver Springs (pop. 3600) 11 kilometers to the northwest; Clinton (pop. 5400) 16 kilometers to the northeast; Lenior City (pop. 5400) 11 kilometers to the southeast; Kingston (pop. 4400) 11 kilometers to the southwest; and Harriman (pop. 8300) 13 kilometers to the west. Knoxville, the major metropolitan area nearest Oak Ridge, is located about 40 kilometers to the east and has a population of approximately 183,000. A directional 80-kilometer population distribution, which is used for population dose calculations later in this report, is shown in Table 1.

The DOE Reservation contains three major operating facilities: the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), the Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant (ORGDP), and the Y-12 Plant; all of which are operated by Union Carbide Corporation, Nuclear Division. In addition, two smaller DOE facilities are in the area: the Comparative Animal Research Laboratory, and the Oak Ridge Associated Universities, both of which are operated by Oak Ridge Associated Universities.

The Oak Ridge National Laboratory is a large multipurpose research laboratory whose basic mission is the discovery of new knowledge, both basic and applied, in all areas related to energy. To accomplish this mission, the Laboratory conducts research in all fields of modern science and technology. The Laboratory's facilities consist of nuclear reactors, chemical pilot plants, research laboratories, radioisotope production laboratories, and support facilities.

The Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant (ORGDP) is a complex of production, research, development, and support facilities located west of the city of Oak Ridge. While the primary function of ORGDP is the enrichment of uranium hexafluoride (UF_6) in the uranium-235 isotope, extensive efforts are also expended on research and development activities associated with both the gaseous diffusion and gas centrifuge processes. In addition, the barrier material used by all three Department of Energy-owned gaseous diffusion plants is manufactured at ORGDP. Numerous other activities (maintenance, nitrogen production, steam production, uranium recovery, fluorine production, water treatment, laboratory analysis, administration, etc.) lend support to these primary functions and are thus essential to the operation of this plant.

The Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant which is located immediately adjacent to the City of Oak Ridge has five major responsibilities: (1) production of nuclear weapon components, (2) processing of source and special nuclear materials, (3) support to the weapon design laboratories, (4) support to other UCC-ND installations, and (5) support to other government agencies. Activities associated with these functions include the production of lithium compounds, the recovery of enriched uranium from unirradiated scrap material, and the fabrication of uranium and other materials into finished parts and assemblies. Fabrication operations include vacuum casting, arc melting, powder compaction, rolling, forming, heat treating, machining, inspection, and testing.

Operations associated with the DOE research and production facilities in Oak Ridge give rise to several types of waste materials.

Radioactive wastes are generated from nuclear research activities, reactor operations, pilot plant operations involving radioactive materials, isotope separation processes, uranium enrichment, and uranium processing operations. Nonradioactive wastes are generated by normal industrial-type support operations that include water demineralizers, air conditioning, cooling towers, acid disposal, sewage plant operations, and steam plant operations.

Nonradioactive solid wastes are buried in a centralized sanitary landfill or designated burial areas. Radioactive solid wastes are buried in solid waste storage areas and placed in retrievable storage either above or below ground depending upon the type and quantity of radioactive material present and the economic value involved.

Gaseous wastes generally are treated by filtration, electrostatic precipitation, and/or chemical scrubbing techniques prior to release to the atmosphere. The major gaseous waste streams are released through stacks to provide atmosphere dilution for materials which may remain in the stream following treatment.

Liquid radioactive wastes are not released but are concentrated and contained in tanks for ultimate disposal. Process water which may contain small quantities of radioactive or chemical pollutants is discharged, after treatment, to White Oak Creek, Poplar Creek, East Fork Poplar Creek, and Bear Creek, which are small tributaries to the Clinch River.

SUMMARY

The Environmental Monitoring Program for the Oak Ridge area includes sampling and analysis of air, water from surface streams, creek sediments, biota, and soil for both radioactive and nonradioactive materials. This report presents a summary of the results of the program for calendar year 1981.

Surveillance of radioactivity in the Oak Ridge environs indicates that atmospheric concentrations of radioactivity were not significantly different from other areas in East Tennessee. Concentrations of radioactivity in the Clinch River and in fish collected from the river were less than 2 percent of the permissible concentration and intake guides for individuals in the offsite environment. While some radioactivity was released to the environment from plant operations, the concentrations in all of the media sampled were well below established standards.

The total body dose to a "hypothetical maximum exposed individual" at the site boundary was calculated to be 5.9 millirem/yr (59 microsieverts) which is 1.1 percent of the DOE Manual Chapter 0524 standard. The maximum 50-year dose commitment to the critical organ of an individual from the aquatic food chain was calculated to be 71 millirem (710 microsieverts) to the bone which is 4.7 percent of the allowable annual standard. The maximum dose commitment to individuals living nearest the site boundary from airborne releases, assuming continuous residence, was 0.38 millirem (3.8 microsieverts) to the total body and 9.2 millirem (92 microsieverts) to the lung. These doses are 0.08 percent and 0.6 percent, respectively, of the annual standards. The average total body dose to an Oak Ridge resident was estimated to be 0.09 millirem (0.9 microsieverts) as compared to approximately 100 millirem/yr (1000 microsieverts/yr) from natural background radiation; the average dose commitment to the lung of an Oak Ridge resident was 0.55 millirem (5.5 microsieverts). The cumulative total body dose to the population within an 80-kilometer radius of the Oak Ridge facilities resulting from 1981 effluents was calculated to be 31.5 man-rem (0.3 man-sieverts). This dose may be compared to an estimated 87,000 man-rem (870 man-sieverts) to the same population resulting from natural background radiation.

Surveillance of nonradioactive materials in the Oak Ridge environs shows that established limits were not exceeded for those materials possibly present in the air as a result of plant operations.

The chemical water quality data in surface streams obtained from the water sampling program indicated that average concentrations resulting from plant effluents were in compliance with State stream guidelines with the exception of fluoride at monitoring Station E-1 which was 120 percent of the guideline and nitrate at Station B-1 which was 150 percent of the guideline.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit compliance information has been included in this report.

During 1981 there were no spills of oil and/or hazardous materials from the Oak Ridge installations reported to the National Response Center.

MONITORING DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND EVALUATION

Environmental monitoring data for calendar year 1981 are summarized in Table 2 through 35. In general, the data tables show the number of samples collected at each location, the maximum concentration, the minimum concentration, the average concentration, the relevant standard, and percent of standard for the average of each parameter. Averages are usually accompanied by plus-or-minus ($\pm$) values which represent the 95 percent confidence limits. The 95 percent confidence

limits which are calculated from the standard deviation of the average, assuming a normal frequency distribution, are predictions of the variability in the range of concentrations based on a limited number of measurements. They do not represent the conventional error in the average of repeated measurements on identical samples. Data which are below the minimum detectable limit are expressed as less than (<) the minimum detectable value. In computing average values, sample results below the detection limit are assigned the detection limit value with the resulting average value being expressed as less than (<) the computed value.

Average environmental concentrations are compared with applicable standards where such standards have been established as a means of evaluating the impact of effluent releases. In some cases, for lack of an official standard, stream concentrations of nonradioactive pollutants have been compared with Tennessee State Health Department stream guidelines.

Liquid effluent monitoring data have been compared to the limits specified in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits issued to the Oak Ridge facilities by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

There is a movement currently in some scientific communities to use the International System of Units (SI) for radioactive measurements. This report will be converted to SI units for radioactive measurements following a familiarization and transition period. During the transition period the report will contain data in both units; the non-SI units used previously followed by the SI units in parentheses.

Air Monitoring

Radioactive - Atmospheric concentrations of radioactive materials occuring in the general environment of East Tennessee are monitored by two systems of monitoring stations. One system consists of nine stations (HP-31 through HP-39) which encircle the perimeter of the Oak Ridge area and provides data for evaluating releases from Oak Ridge facilities to the immediate environment, Figure 1. A second system consists of seven stations (HP-51 through HP-53 and HP-55 through HP-58) encircling the Oak Ridge area at distances of from 19 to 121 kilometers, Figure 2. This system provides background data to aid in evaluating local conditions. Sampling for radioactive particulates is carried out by passing air continuously through filter papers. Filter papers are evaluated weekly by gross beta and gross alpha counting techniques and composited by system quarterly for specific radionuclide analysis. More frequent detailed analyses are performed if concentrations in the environment are significantly above normal. Airborne radioactive iodine is monitored in the immediate environment at the perimeter stations by passing air continuously through cartridges containing activated charcoal. Charcoal cartridges are evaluated for radioactive iodine by gamma spectrometry.

Data on the concentrations of radioactive materials in air and the quantities of radioactive materials released to the atmosphere in the Oak Ridge and surrounding areas are given in Tables 2 through 6.

The average gross beta concentrations of radioactivity from particulates in air measured by both the perimeter and remote monitoring systems were 0.07 and 0.07 percent, respectively, of the applicable concentration guide (CG) as specified in the DOE Manual, Appendix 0524[1] for individuals in uncontrolled areas (Table 2). The increase in activity levels compared to 1980 measurements was attributed to the presence of weapons test debris in the atmosphere during the first half of 1981.

The average gross alpha concentrations in the perimeter and remote monitoring systems were 0.02 and 0.03 percent, respectively, of the CG for a mixture of uranium isotopes (Table 3).



Figure 1
AIR, VEGETATION, AND SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS



Figure 2
REMOTE AIR MONITORING LOCATIONS

The results of specific radionuclide analyses of composited filters are given in Table 4. The environmental concentrations tabulated are all at least a thousand times less than the applicable DOE concentration guides for the radionuclides detected.

The concentration of ^{131}I as measured by the perimeter air monitoring system was <0.01 percent of the inhalation concentration guide for individuals in uncontrolled areas (Table 5).

While some radioactivity was released to the atmosphere (Table 6), measurements in the Oak Ridge area show that environmental levels were well below established standards.

Nonradioactive - Environmental air samples are taken for the determination of fluorides, suspended particulates, and sulfur dioxide.

Sampling locations for fluorides are indicated by F-1 through F-6, Figure 1. The current sampling procedure is to obtain six-day samples collected on potassium carbonate treated paper and to analyze weekly by specific ion electrode. The six-day analyses are then averaged to obtain 30-day values.

Suspended particulates are measured at locations SP-1 through SP-4, Figure 1. The method for the determination of suspended particulates is the high volume method recommended by EPA. Particulates are collected by drawing air through weighed filter paper. The filter paper is allowed to equilibrate in a humidity controlled atmosphere and the filter is reweighed. From the weight of particulates, the sampling time, and the air flow rate, the particulate concentration in micrograms per cubic meter is calculated. The sampling period is 24 hours.

The two continuous monitoring stations (S-1 and S-2) in the Y-12 Plant are used for measurement of ambient sulfur dioxide concentrations. Each station consists of a pulsed ultraviolet fluorescence analyzer and recorder with associated equipment located in a temperature-controlled shelter. Sulfur dioxide concentrations are interpreted on an hourly basis and averaged for 24-hour, monthly, and annual periods.

Air monitoring data for fluorides, suspended particulates, and sulfur dioxide are presented in Tables 7 through 9. The data indicate that measured environmental concentrations of fluorides, suspended particulates, and sulfur dioxide were in compliance with applicable standards.[2]

The Y-12 steam plant is being upgraded to operate more efficiently at higher steam load levels. The current electrostatic precipitator installation is not adequate to meet emission limits at higher steam load levels. Funds have been approved and preliminary design has been commenced for the installation of pollution control equipment to meet emission limits under higher operating load conditions.

External Gamma Radiation Monitoring

External gamma radiation background measurements are made routinely at the perimeter air monitoring stations and at the remote monitoring stations using calcium fluoride thermoluminescent dosimeters suspended one meter above the ground. Dosimeters at the perimeter stations are collected and analyzed monthly. Those at the remote stations are collected and analyzed semiannually.

Data on the average external gamma radiation background are given in Table 10. A considerable variation in background levels is normally experienced in East Tennessee depending upon elevation, topography, and geological character of the surrounding soil.[3]

External gamma radiation measurements were made along the bank of the Clinch River from the mouth of White Oak Creek several hundred yards downstream to evaluate gamma radiation levels resulting from effluent releases and "sky shine" from an experimental cesium plot located near the river bank. Measurements were made using scintillation detectors and/or thermoluminescent dosimeters suspended one meter above the ground surface. The average background level determined at the remote stations was subtracted from the measured gamma radiation levels to determine the incrementai increases resulting from plant operations.

The external gamma radiation levels along the bank of the Clinch River ranged from 4 to 25 μR/hr (1 E-09 to 6.5 E-09 C/kg/h) above background. Potential doses to individuals in the environment from these elevated gamma radiation levels were calculated and are included, where significant, in the dose assessment section of the report.

Water Monitoring

Radioactive - Water samples are collected in the Clinch River for radioactivity analyses at Melton Hill Dam (Station C-2) 3.7 kilometers above White Oak Creek outfall, at the ORGDP sanitary water intake (Station C-3) 10 kilometers downstream from the entry of White Oak Creek, at the ORGDP recirculating water intake (Station C-4) downstream from the Poplar Creek outfall, and near Brashear Island (Station C-6). A sample is also collected from the Tennessee River at the Water Plant (Station C-5) near Kingston, Tennessee, Figure 3. Samples are collected continuously at Stations C-2, C-3, and C-5. A weekly 24-hour composite sample is collected at Station C-4 and a weekly grab sample is collected at Station C-6. Samples are composited for monthly or quarterly analysis depending upon location.

Water samples also are collected for radioactivity analyses at the mouth of White Oak Creek (Station W-1), at the outlet of New Hope Pond on East Fork Poplar Creek (Station E-1), in Bear Creek (Station B-1), and in Poplar Creek (Stations P-1 and P-2), Figure 3. The samples collected at Stations W-1, E-1, and B-1 are continuous samples. Grab samples are collected at Stations P-1 and P-2 on a weekly basis. Water samples are collected also at White Oak Dam. All samples are composited for monthly analysis.

The concentrations of fission product radionuclides present in detectably significant amounts are determined by specific radionuclide analysis and gamma spectrometry. Uranium analysis is by the fluorometric method. Transuranic alpha emitters are determined by ion exchange and alpha range analysis. The concentration of each radionuclide is compared with its respective concentration guide (CG) value as specified in the DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, and percent of concentration guide for a known mixture of radionuclides is calculated in accordance with the method given in Appendix 0524.

Data on the concentrations of radionuclides measured in the surface streams are given in Table 11. Data on the concentrations of uranium in surface streams and the quantities of radioactivity release to surface streams are given in Tables 12 and 13.

Analysis of water samples collected in the mouth of White Oak Creek (Station W-1) indicated that the yearly average concentration of radionuclides was approximately 28 percent of the applicable concentration guide for uncontrolled areas. The calculated average concentration of radionuclides in the Clinch River, based on the analysis of water samples collected at White Oak Dam and the



Figure 3
STREAM MONITORING LOCATIONS

dilution afforded by the river, assuming complete mixing, was determined to be 0.6 percent of the applicable concentration guide for uncontrolled areas. The average dilution factor for 1981, based on the flow of White Oak Creek and the Clinch River, was 371. The measured average concentrations of radionuclides in the Clinch River upstream and downstream of White Oak Creek outfall were less than 1 percent of the applicable concentration guide.

The calculated average concentration of transuranic alpha emitters in the Clinch River resulting from effluent releases was 3.7 E-11 μCi/mL (1.4 mBq/L), which is about 0.12 percent of the concentration guide for water containing a known mixture of radionuclides.

Trends in water discharges and calculated percent concentration guide levels in the Clinch River are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Discharges of ^{90}Sr and ^{3}H are shown in Figure 4 as these nuclides contribute the majority of the radiological dose downstream. While the discharges of ^{90}Sr and ^{3}H were essentially the same in 1980 and 1981, the percent MPCw calculated for the Clinch River increased significantly in 1981 (Figure 5). This increase was attributed to low flow in the Clinch River with the resulting dilution being about a factor of three less than last year.

Rainwater - The gross beta activity in rainwater was analyzed; the results are shown in Table 14. The fluctuations among the stations for both the perimeter and remote networks are due to statistical random variation. It is noted that the average radioactivity is greater for the remote stations than the perimeter stations.

Nonradioactive - Water samples are collected for the analysis of nonradioactive substances at the same locations discussed previously under radioactive water sampling. All samples are composited for monthly analysis. Samples are analyzed for a variety of water quality parameters related to process release potential and background information needs by analytical procedures recommended by the Environmental Protection Agency.[4]

Data on chemical concentrations in surface streams are given in Tables 15 through 23. The average concentrations of all substances analyzed were in compliance with Tennessee stream guidelines[5, 6] except for fluoride at Station E-1 and nitrate at Station B-1 which were 120 and 150 percent of the guidelines, respectively.

National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits were issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for each of the Oak Ridge facilities operated by Union Carbide Corporation - Nuclear Division in 1975. The permits established a number of discharge locations at each installation and listed specific concentration limits and/or monitoring requirements for a number of parameters at each discharge location. Table 24 contains the discharge locations at each installation, the parameters at each location for which limits have been established, the permit limits for each parameter, and the percentage compliance experienced.

Biological Monitoring

Milk - Raw milk is monitored for ^{131}I and ^{90}Sr by the collection and analysis of samples from 12 sampling stations located within a radius of 80 kilometers of Oak Ridge. Samples are normally collected weekly at each of seven stations located near the Oak Ridge area. Five stations, located more remotely with respect to Oak Ridge operations, are sampled at a rate of one station each week. Milk sampling locations for all stations are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Samples are analyzed by ion exchange and gamma spectrometry; results are compared to intake guides specified by the Federal Radiation Council (FRC).[7]



Figure 4
CURIES DISCHARGED OVER WHITE OAK DAM



Figure 5
PERCENTAGE CONCENTRATION GUIDE LEVELS IN THE CLINCH RIVER (VALUES GIVEN ARE CALCULATED VALUES BASED ON THOSE CONCENTRATIONS MEASURED AT WHITE OAK DAM AND DILUTION AFFORDED BY THE CLINCH RIVER



Figure 6
IMMEDIATE ENVIRONS MILK SAMPLING LOCATIONS

ORNL DWG 76-12775RAR2



Figure 7
REMOTE ENVIRONS MILK SAMPLING LOCATIONS

The average concentrations of ^{131}I and ^{90}Sr in raw milk are given in Tables 25 and 26, respectively. If one assumes the average intake of milk per individual to be one liter per day, the average concentration of ^{131}I in milk in both the immediate environs of the Oak Ridge area and in the environs remote from Oak Ridge were within FRC Range 1. The average concentrations ^{90}Sr in milk from both the immediate and remote environs were within the FRC Range 1.

Fish Sampling - Several species of fish which are commonly caught are taken from the Clinch River each year. The scales, head, and entrails are removed from the fish before ashing. Ten fish of each species are composited for each sample, and the samples are analyzed by gamma spectrometry and radiochemical techniques for the critical radionuclides which may contribute significantly to the potential radiation dose to man.

Data on the concentration of radionuclides in Clinch River fish are given in Tables 27 and 28. Consumption of 16.8 kilograms of bluegill per year[8] taken from the river near White Oak Creek outfall results in approximately 2 percent of the maximum permissible intake, which represents the highest dose potential to the public from fish consumption. The maximum permissible intake is calculated to be equal to a daily intake of 2.2 liters of water, over a period of one year, containing the concentration guide of the radionuclides in question. Mercury concentrations in the fish samples collected were less than the FDA proposed action level (Table 29), except for the carp collected at Clinch River Mile 12.0 which was 115 percent of the action level.

Deer - Frequently, deer are killed by automobiles on the DOE Reservation. Thirty nine deer samples were analyzed during 1981. Summary data of the ^{137}Cs content in deer samples are presented in Table 30. The deer with the highest concentration of ^{137}Cs would result in a dose of 0.04 millirem (0.4 microsieverts) to the total body and 0.07 millirem (0.7 microsieverts) to the liver (critical organ) if one assumes the consumption of 1 kilogram of meat. It should be noted that no hunting is allowed on the Reservation.

Vegetation - Samples of pine needles and grass are collected semiannually from 17 areas (Stations VS-1 through VS-17, Figure 1) and analyzed for uranium and fluoride content. Fluorometric analysis is used for the determination of uranium and colorimetric analysis is used for the determination of fluorides.

Data on the uranium and fluoride content in vegetation are presented in Table 31. The fluoride concentration in grass at all sampling points was below the 30 $\mu g/g$ level considered to produce no adverse effects when ingested by cattle.[9] Uranium concentrations were below levels of environmental concern.

Additionally, samples of grass were collected semiannually from the perimeter and annually from the remote air-sampling stations (see Figure 1 and 2). At each station, all the grass from five 1/5 meter-squared plots was collected. One plot was taken beside the station, and the other four were taken at 15 m from the station at 90° directions from each other. The grass from each station was then composited and analyzed by gamma spectrometry and radiochemical techniques for a variety of radionuclides. Data on the radionuclide concentrations in grass are presented in Table 32.

Soil and Sediment Monitoring

Soil - Soil samples are also collected semiannually from near the perimeter and annually from the remote stations. The same five 1/5 meter-squared plots used for grass analysis were also used for soil determinations. Two cores, 8 cm in diameter and 5 cm in depth, were taken from each plot; a composite of 10 cores was used for each station. These samples were also analyzed by gamma spectrometry and radiochemical techniques.

Data on specific radionuclide concentrations in soil are given in Table 33. The plutonium concentrations found were comparable to the value of 0.05 pCi/g (0.002 Bq/g) considered to be a representative concentration of plutonium in U.S. surface soil.[10]

Sediment - A sediment sampling program was initiated at ORGDP in 1975 to determine the concentrations of various metallic ions in the sediment of Poplar Creek. The current sampling program consists of 14 sampling locations (Figure 8) which should be generally representative of plant effluents. Samples are collected twice during the year and analyzed by atomic absorption.

The concentrations of metals in the stream sediment samples, Table 34, generally exceed background levels for metals in remote streams. An examination of the effluent sources indicates that only very small quantities of any of these metals are currently being released, suggesting that present concentrations found in sediment samples are residual metals from earlier Oak Ridge plant operations.

Calculation of Potential Radiation Dose to the Public

Potential radiation doses resulting from plant effluents were calculated for a number of dose reference points within the Oak Ridge environs. All significant sources and modes of exposure were examined, and a number of general assumptions were used in making the calculations.

The site boundary for the Oak Ridge Complex was defined as the perimeter of the DOE controlled area.

Gaseous effluents are discharged from several locations within each of the three Oak Ridge facilities. For calculational purposes, the gaseous discharges are assumed to occur from only one vent from each site. Since the release points at ORGDP and the Y-12 Plant do not physically approximate an elevated stack, their discharges are assumed to be from 10 meters above ground level; releases from ORNL are through elevated stacks. The meteorological data collected at the ORNL site were used for dispersion calculations. Concentrations of radionuclides contained in the air and deposited on the ground were estimated at distances up to 80 kilometers from the Oak Ridge facilities with the Gaussian plume model developed by Pasquill[11] and Gifford[12] incorporated in a computer program.[13] The concentration has been averaged over the crosswind direction to give the estimated ground level concentration downwind of the source of emission.[14] The deposition velocities used in the calculations were 0.0 cm/sec for krypton and xenon, 0.2 cm/sec for iodine, and 0.1 cm/sec for particulates.[15] Meteorological data are shown in Figure 9; the length of the bars indicates the percentage of time the wind is blowing in that direction.

Potential pathways of exposure to man from radioactive effluents released by the Oak Ridge operations that are considered in the dose estimates are presented in Figure 10. The pathways shown in the figure are not exhaustive, but they include the principal pathways of exposure based on experience.

Exposures to radionuclides that originate in the effluents released from the Oak Ridge facilities were converted to estimates of radiation dose to individuals using models and data presented in publications of the International Commission on Radiological Protection,[16-21] other recognized literature on radiation protection,[22-24] personal communication,[25] and computer programs incorporating some of these models and data.[26, 27] Radioactive material taken into the body by inhalation or ingestion will continuously irradiate the body until removed by processes of metabolism and radioactive decay; thus the estimates for internal dose are called "dose commitments," they are obtained by integrating over the assumed remaining lifetime (50 years) of the exposed individual.



Figure 8
OAK RIDGE GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS



Figure 9
METEOROLOGICAL DATA FOR THE OAK RIDGE RESERVATION



Figure 10
EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

The radiation doses to the total body and to internal organs from external exposures to penetrating radiation are approximately equal, but they may vary considerably for internal exposures because some radionuclides concentrate in certain organs of the body. For this reason, estimates of radiation dose to the total body, thyroid, lungs, bone, liver, kidneys, and gastrointestinal tract were considered for various pathways of exposure. These estimates were based on parameters applicable to an average adult.[16, 21] The population dose estimate in man-rem (man-sieverts) is the sum of the total body doses to exposed individuals within an 80-kilometer radius of the Oak Ridge facilities.

Maximum Potential Exposure - The point of maximum potential ("fence-post" dose) on the site boundary is located along the bank of the Clinch River adjacent to a cesium field experimental plot and is due primarily to "sky-shine" from the plot. A maximum potential total body exposure of 215 millirem/yr (2150 microsieverts/yr) was calculated for this location assuming that an individual remained at this point for 24 hours/day for the entire year. The calculated maximum potential exposure is 43 percent of the allowable standard.[1] This is an atypical exposure location and the probability of an exposure of the magnitude calculated is considered remote since access is only by boat.

The total body dose to a "hypothetical maximum exposed individual" at the same location was calculated using a more realistic residence time of 240 hours/yr. The calculated dose under these conditions was 5.9 millirem/yr (59 microsieverts) which is 1.1 percent of the allowable standard[1] and represents what is considered a probable upper limit of exposure.

A more probable exposure might be considered to occur at other locations beyond the site boundary as a result of airborne or liquid effluent releases.

The dose commitment to an individual continuously occupying the residence nearest the site boundary would result from inhalation and is based on an inhalation rate for the average adult of 2 E+04 liters/day. The calculated dose commitments at this location were 9.2 millrem (92 microsieverts) to the lung (the critical organ) and 0.38 millirem (3.8 microsieverts) to the total body; ^{234}U is the important radionuclide contributing to this dose. These levels are 0.6 percent and 0.08 percent, respectively, of the allowable annual standard. Due to inherent uncertainties in the meteorological data,[28] stack sampling data and calculational techniques, the calculated doses may be in error as much as 300 percent.

The most important contribution to dose from radioactivity within the terrestrial food-chain is by the atmosphere-pasture-cow-milk food-chain pathway. Measurements of the two principal radionuclides entering into this pathway, ^{131}I and ^{90}Sr (see Tables 25 and 26), indicate that the maximum dose to an individual in the immediate environs from ingestion of one liter of milk per day is 0.02 millirem (0.2 microsieverts) to the thyroid and 2.7 millirem (27 microsieverts) to the bone. The average concentrations for the remote stations were assumed to be background and were subtracted from the perimeter station data in making the calculations.

The public water supply closest to the liquid discharges from the Oak Ridge facilities is located approximately 26 kilometers downstream at Kingston, Tennessee. The intake to the water filtration plant is located on the Tennessee River approximately one-half mile upstream from the confluence of the Clinch and Tennessee Rivers. Normally, Tennessee River water is used for the Kingston water supply but under certain conditions of power generation, backflow can occur. Under backflow conditions, Clinch River water may move upstream in the Tennessee River and be used as the source of water for the Kingston filtration plant. Measurements of untreated river water samples taken at the Kingston filtration plant intake indicate that the maximum dose commitment

resulting from the ingestion of the daily adult requirement (about two liters per day) is 10.9 millirem (109 microsieverts) to the bone and 0.22 millirem (2.2 microsieverts) to the total body. The average concentrations in Melton Hill Dam water (background) were subtracted from the values obtained at Kingston.

Estimates of the 50-year dose commitment to an adult were calculated for consumption of 16.8 kilograms of fish per year from the Clinch River. The consumption of 16.8 kilograms[8] is about 2.5 times the national average fish consumption[29] and is used because of the popularity of fishing in East Tennessee. From the analysis of edible parts of the fish examined (see Table 27 and 28), the maximum possible organ dose commitment to an individual from the highest quarterly bluegill sample taken from CRM 20.8 is estimated to be 71 millirem (710 microsieverts) to the bone from ^{90}Sr. The maximum total body dose to an individual was calculated to be 2.9 millirem (29 microsieverts).

A more probable dose commitment, based on the annual average concentration of ^{90}Sr in bluegill samples taken from CRM 20.8, was calculated to be 23 millirem (230 microsieverts) to the bone and 1 millirem (10 microsieverts) to the total body. These dose commitments are about 1.5 percent and 0.2 percent, respectively, of the allowable annual standards. Fish samples taken from Melton Hill Lake were analyzed to determine background conditions. Fish caught and consumed from other locations in the Clinch River would result in significantly less dose than the maximum calculated for CRM 20.8, see Tables 27 and 28.

Summaries are given in Table 35 of the potential radiation doses to adult members of the general public at the points of highest potential exposure from gaseous and liquid effluents from the Oak Ridge facilities.

Dose to the Population - The Oak Ridge population received the largest average individual total body dose as a population group. The average total body dose to an Oak Ridge resident was estimated to be 0.09 millirem (0.9 microsieverts) as compared to approximately 100 millirem/yr (1000 microsieverts/yr) from natural background radiation; the average dose commitment to the lung of an Oak Ridge resident was 0.55 millirem (5.5 microsieverts). The maximum potential dose commitment to an Oak Ridge resident was calculated to be 9.2 millirem (92 microsieverts) to the lung. This calculated dose is 0.6 percent of the allowable annual standard.[1]

The cumulative total body dose to the population within an 80-kilometer radius of the Oak Ridge facilities resulting from 1981 plant effluents was calculated to be 31.5 man-rem (0.3 man-sieverts). This cumulative dose was calculated using the population distribution given in Table 1 for ORNL atmospheric effluents; similar population distributions were used for the Y-12 and ORGDP releases. This dose may be compared to an estimated 87,000 man-rem (870 man-sieverts) to the same population resulting from natural background radiation. About 7.6 percent of the collective dose from the effluents of the Oak Ridge facilities is estimated to be to the Oak Ridge population.

Table 1
INCREMENTAL POPULATION TABLE IN THE VICINITY OF ORNL[a]

DISTANCE, MILES DISTANCE, KM	0-1 0-1.6	1-2 1.6-3.2	2-3 3.2-4.8	3-4 4.8-6.4	4-5 6.4-8.0	5-10 8-16	10-20 16-32	20-30 32-48	30-40 48-64	40-50 64-80
Direction										
E	0	0	0	0	0	3,059	44,880	100,500	11,790	12,390
ENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,460	74,690	18,720	13,870
NE	0	0	0	0	0	9,713	12,480	7,167	4,392	7,476
NNE	0	0	0	0	1,461	13,780	4,362	11,190	12,670	6,119
N	0	0	0	0	1,490	5,578	2,177	1,441	2,223	4,508
NNW	0	0	0	0	0	1,495	0	1,152	4,559	4,676
NW	0	0	0	0	0	1,073	4,804	1,538	1,896	7,552
WNW	0	0	0	0	0	587	2,971	1,543	0	4,151
W	0	0	0	0	0	666	13,100	4,595	9,038	7,318
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	622	9,862	3,495	4,562	4,204
SW	0	0	0	0	0	733	1,840	1,909	3,962	8,578
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	721	2,055	7,897	21,580	10,530
S	0	0	0	0	0	943	8,742	7,309	6,560	1,222
SSE	0	0	0	0	1,374	7,277	1,290	4,091	469	0
SE	0	0	0	0	0	1,167	4,304	15,010	46	0
ESE	0	0	0	0	0	6,096	5,343	36,020	4,132	6,840
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	4,325	53,510	145,670	279,547	106,599	99,434
CUMULATIVE TOTAL	0	0	0	0	4,325	57,835	203,505	483,052	589,651	689,085

[a]Based on 1970 Census Data.

Table 2
CONTINUOUS AIR MONITORING DATA
Long-Lived Gross Beta Activity of Particulates in Air
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-13 μCi/mL (mBq/m^3)			% CG[c]
		MAXIMUM[a]	MINIMUM[b]	AVERAGE	
		Perimeter Area[d]			
HP-31	52	3.3 (12)	0.11 (0.42)	0.68 (2.5) ± 0.19	0.07
HP-32	52	3.0 (11)	0.06 (0.21)	0.73 (2.7) ± 0.18	0.07
HP-33	51	3.1 (12)	0.09 (0.34)	0.68 (2.5) ± 0.19	0.07
HP-34	52	3.8 (14)	0.13 (0.47)	0.85 (3.2) ± 0.22	0.09
HP-35	52	3.2 (12)	0.08 (0.31)	0.72 (2.7) ± 0.18	0.07
HP-36	51	2.6 (10)	0.05 (0.18)	0.59 (2.2) ± 0.16	0.06
HP-37	52	2.6 (10)	0.05 (0.17)	0.60 (2.2) ± 0.16	0.06
HP-38	52	3.6 (13)	0.11 (0.40)	0.81 (3.0) ± 0.21	0.08
HP-39	52	2.7 (10)	0.04 (0.15)	0.57 (2.1) ± 0.17	0.06
Average				0.69 (2.6) ± 0.17	0.07
		Remote Area[e]			
HP-51	52	3.7 (14)	0.16 (0.58)	0.73 (2.7) ± 0.19	0.07
HP-52	52	3.4 (13)	0.06 (0.21)	0.74 (2.7) ± 0.18	0.07
HP-53	52	3.7 (14)	0.07 (0.26)	0.68 (2.5) ± 0.19	0.07
HP-55	52	1.9 (7)	0.04 (0.15)	0.55 (2.0) ± 0.12	0.05
HP-56	52	2.2 (8)	0.03 (0.11)	0.64 (2.4) ± 0.15	0.06
HP-57	52	4.0 (15)	0.15 (0.57)	0.86 (3.2) ± 0.22	0.09
HP-58	50	2.9 (11)	0.04 (0.15)	0.64 (2.4) ± 0.18	0.06
Average				0.70 (2.6) ± 0.18	0.07

[a]Maximum weekly average concentration.

[b]Minimum weekly average concentration-minimum detectable level is 1 E-15 μCi/mL (0.037 mBq/m^3).

[c]CG is 1 E-10 μCi/mL (3.7 E+3 mBq/m^3) for unidentified radionuclides (DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, Annex A, Table II).

[d]See Figure 1.

[e]See Figure 2.

Table 3
CONTINUOUS AIR MONITORING DATA
Long-Lived Gross Alpha Activity of Particulates in Air
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-15 μCi/mL (μBq/m^3)			% CG[c]
		MAXIMUM[a]	MINIMUM[b]	AVERAGE	
		Perimeter Area[d]			
HP-31	52	2.5 (93)	0.1 (4)	0.79 (29) ± 0.17	0.02
HP-32	52	3.5 (130)	0.1 (4)	1.1 (41) ± 0.23	0.03
HP-33	51	2.8 (100)	0.1 (4)	0.85 (31) ± 0.17	0.02
HP-34	52	3.2 (120)	0.1 (4)	0.92 (34) ± 0.20	0.02
HP-35	52	2.4 (89)	0.1 (4)	0.89 (33) ± 0.15	0.02
HP-36	51	2.4 (89)	0.1 (4)	0.82 (30) ± 0.15	0.02
HP-37	52	2.7 (100)	0.1 (4)	0.92 (34) ± 0.18	0.02
HP-38	52	2.9 (110)	0.1 (4)	0.86 (32) ± 0.19	0.02
HP-39	52	3.2 (120)	0.1 (4)	0.84 (31) ± 0.18	0.02
Average				0.89 (33) ± 0.18	0.02
		Remote Area[e]			
HP-51	52	4.1 (150)	0.1 (4)	1.0 (37) ± 0.21	0.03
HP-52	52	3.2 (120)	0.1 (4)	0.96 (36) ± 0.21	0.02
HP-53	52	2.7 (100)	0.1 (4)	1.1 (41) ± 0.21	0.03
HP-55	52	5.6 (210)	0.1 (4)	1.3 (48) ± 0.29	0.03
HP-56	52	4.4 (160)	0.1 (4)	1.2 (44) ± 0.24	0.03
HP-57	52	2.9 (110)	0.1 (4)	0.99 (37) ± 0.19	0.02
HP-58	50	2.7 (100)	0.1 (4)	0.84 (31) ± 0.16	0.02
Average				1.1 (41) ± 0.22	0.03

[a]Maximum weekly average concentration.

[b]Minimum weekly average concentration-minimum detectable level is 1 E-16 μCi/mL (3.7 μBq/ m^3)

[c]CG is 40 E-13 μCi/mL (1.48 E+05 μBq/m^3) for a mixture of uranium isotopes (DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, Annex A, Table II).

[d]See Figure 1.

[e]See Figure 2.

Table 4
CONTINUOUS AIR-MONITORING DATA
Specific Radionuclides in Air
(Composite Samples)
1981
Units of E-15 μCi/mL (μBq/m^3)

NUCLIDE	PERIMETER STATIONS						REMOTE STATIONS					
	Quarterly Maximum		Quarterly Minimum		Yearly Average		Quarterly Maximum		Quarterly Minimum		Yearly Average	
^{54}Mn	1.1	(41)	0.06	(2.2)	0.43	(16)	1.1	(41)	0.04	(1.5)	0.4	(15)
^{90}Sr	0.84	(31)	0.15	(5.6)	0.46	(17)	0.95	(35)	0.05	(1.9)	0.4	(15)
^{95}Nb	56	(2050)	0.41	(15)	23	(860)	49	(1830)	0.39	(14)	21	(790)
^{95}Zr	27	(1000)	0.17	(6.3)	11	(410)	25	(930)	0.08	(3.0)	11	(420)
^{103}Ru	20	(720)	0.03	(1.1)	7.1	(260)	27	(1000)	0.03	(1.1)	8.9	(330)
^{106}Ru	7.4	(270)	0.83	(31)	4.4	(160)	5.4	(200)	0.56	(21)	3.3	(120)
^{125}Sb	1.8	(67)	0.11	(4.1)	0.76	(28)	1.5	(55)	0.10	(3.7)	0.6	(22)
^{137}Cs	3.0	(110)	0.24	(8.9)	1.2	(44)	2.9	(110)	0.23	(8.5)	1.1	(41)
^{141}Ce	39	(1440)	0.03	(1.1)	11	(410)	33	(1210)	0.04	(1.5)	9.2	(340)
^{144}Ce	8.9	(330)	1.3	(49)	5.5	(200)	8.9	(330)	1.2	(44)	4.6	(170)
^{228}Th	0.07	(2.6)	0.04	(1.5)	0.05	(1.9)	0.08	(3.0)	0.03	(1.1)	0.04	(1.5)
^{230}Th	0.07	(2.6)	0.02	(0.7)	0.04	(1.5)	0.02	(0.7)	0.01	(0.4)	0.02	(0.7)
^{232}Th	0.04	(1.5)	0.02	(0.7)	0.03	(1.1)	0.02	(0.7)	0.02	(0.7)	0.02	(0.7)
^{234}U	0.47	(17)	0.26	(9.6)	0.40	(15)	0.09	(3.3)	0.05	(1.9)	0.06	(2.2)
^{235}U	0.07	(2.6)	0.009	(0.3)	0.04	(1.5)	0.02	(0.7)	0.006	(0.2)	0.01	(0.4)
^{238}U	0.35	(13)	0.18	(6.7)	0.28	(10)	0.06	(2.2)	0.03	(1.1)	0.05	(1.9)
^{238}Pu	0.0009	(0.03)	0.0002	(0.007)	0.0004	(0.014)	0.0008	(0.03)	0.0002	(0.007)	0.0006	(0.02)
^{239}Pu	0.03	(1.1)	0.002	(0.07)	0.01	(0.4)	0.03	(1.1)	0.003	(0.11)	0.01	(0.4)

Table 5

CONCENTRATION OF ^{131}I IN AIR MEASURED BY THE PERIMETER AIR MONITORING STATIONS[a]

1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-14 μCi/mL (μBq/m^3)			% CG[d]
		MAXIMUM[b]	MINIMUM[c]	AVERAGE	
HP-31	52	0.24 (89)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-32	52	0.22 (81)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-33	52	0.24 (89)	0.01 (4)	0.14 (52) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-34	52	0.23 (85)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-35	52	0.22 (81)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-36	52	0.31 (115)	0.01 (4)	0.12 (44) ± 0.02	<0.01
HP-37	52	0.20 (74)	0.03 (11)	0.12 (44) ± 0.01	<0.01
HP-38	52	0.20 (74)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.01	<0.01
HP-39	52	0.33 (122)	0.03 (11)	0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01
AVERAGE				0.13 (48) ± 0.02	<0.01

[a]See Figure 1.

[b]Maximum weekly average concentration.

[c]Minimum weekly average concentration-minimum detectable amount of ^{131}I is 1 E-16 μCi/mL (3.7 μBq/m^3).

[d]CG is 1 E-10 μCi/mL (3.7 E+06 μBq/m^3) (DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, Annex A, Table II).

Table 6
DISCHARGE OF RADIOACTIVITY TO THE ATMOSPHERE
1981

RADIONUCLIDE	QUANTITY DISCHARGED	
	Ci	UNITS OF E+10 Bq
Uranium[a]	0.13	0.5
^{131}I	< 0.5	< 1.9
^{3}H	11,300	41,800
^{133}Xe[b]	<32,400	<119,900
^{85}Kr[b]	< 6,700	< 24,800
^{99}Tc	0.04	0.15
Alpha[c]	< 7.8 E-08	< 2.9 E-07

[a]Uranium of varying enrichments - curie quantities calculated using the appropriate specific activity for material released.

[b]Upper limit values based on direct radiation measurements in the stack gas stream and an assumed mixture of noble gases.

[c]Unidentified alpha.

Table 7
AIR MONITORING DATA - FLUORIDES
1981

Location[a]	Number of Samples	Maximum Concentration for Averaging Interval $\mu g/m^3$		Number of Times Standard Exceeded[b]		Annual Average $\mu g/m^3$
	6 Day	6 Day	30 Day	7 Day	30 Day	
F-1	53	0.2	0.1	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.01
F-2	51	0.4	0.3	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.03
F-3	53	0.2	0.2	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.02
F-4	53	0.2	< 0.1	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.01
F-5	52	0.1	< 0.1	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.01
F-6[c]	52	0.1	< 0.1	0	0	< 0.1 ± 0.01

[a]See Figure 1.

[b]Tennessee Air Pollution Control Regulations -

3.7 $\mu g/m^3$ for 12 hour averaging interval
2.9 $\mu g/m^3$ for 24 hour averaging interval
1.6 $\mu g/m^3$ for 7 day averaging interval
1.2 $\mu g/m^3$ for 30 day averaging interval

All values are maximum--not to be exceeded more than once per year.

[c]Station F-6 approximately 8 kilometers from ORGDP upwind of the predominant prevailing wind direction, thus may be considered representative of general ambient background concentration.

NOTE: Data not amenable to comparison with 12-hour or 24-hour standard. Six-day sample compared to 7 day averaging interval.

Table 8
AIR MONITORING DATA - SUSPENDED PARTICULATES
1981

LOCATION[a]	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, $\mu g/m^3$)			% STD.[b]
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	
SP-1	49	98	1	29 ± 8	39
SP-2	55	96	2	30 ± 7	40
SP-3	53	130	1	37 ± 8	49
SP-4	53	110	1	32 ± 8	43

[a]See Figure 1.

[b]Tennessee Ambient Air Standards - Primary Standard.

Maximum 24 hr. Average — 260 $\mu g/m^3$
Annual Geometric Mean — 75 $\mu g/m^3$

Table 9
SULFUR DIOXIDE MONITORING DATA
1981

MONTH	MAXIMUM 24 HR. AVERAGE (PPM)		MONTHLY AVERAGE (PPM)	
	STATION S-1	STATION S-2	STATION S-1	STATION S-2
January	0.030	0.042	0.007	0.011
February	0.033	0.026	0.009	0.009
March	0.024	0.016	0.005	0.005
April	0.029	0.006	0.013	0.003
May	0.019	0.016	0.008	0.003
June	0.013	0.013	0.005	0.005
July	0.015	0.013	0.004	0.007
August	0.016	0.002	0.004	0.002
September	0.007	0.134	0.002	0.021
October	0.005	0.010	0.002	0.007
November	0.024	0.043	0.008	0.005
December	0.032	0.019	0.011	0.006
Annual Arithmetic Mean			0.007	0.007

Tennessee Ambient Standards
Maximum 24 hr. Average — 0.14 ppm
Annual Arithmetic Mean — 0.03 ppm

Minimum Detectable Limit — 0.002 ppm

Table 10
EXTERNAL GAMMA RADIATION MEASUREMENTS
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF MEASUREMENTS	BACKGROUND	
		UNITS OF μR/h	UNITS OF E-09 C/kg/h
	Perimeter Stations[a]		
HP-31	12	9.7 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.1
HP-32	12	11.7 ± 0.6	3.0 ± 0.2
HP-33	12	.7 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.2
HP-34	12	17.8 ± 2.5	4.6 ± 0.7
HP-35	12	8.5 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.1
HP-36	12	8.1 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.1
HP-37	12	7.9 ± 1.0	2.0 ± 0.3
HP-38	12	8.5 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0.2
HP-39	12	9.0 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 0.2
Average		10.1 ± 2.0	2.6 ± 0.5
	Remote Stations[b]		
HP-51	2	5.8 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 0.2
HP-52	2	7.3 ± 1.7	1.9 ± 0.4
HP-53	2	7.7 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 0.3
HP-55	2	6.5 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 0.4
HP-56	2	7.3 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1
HP-57	2	7.7 ± 1.2	2.0 ± 0.3
HP-58	2	10.9 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 0.1
Average		7.6 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 0.3

[a]See Figure 1.

[b]See Figure 2.

Table 11
RADIONUCLIDES OF PRIMARY CONCERN IN SURFACE STREAMS
1981

SAMPLING LOCATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	RANGE	UNITS OF E-09 μCi/mL (Bq/L)			UNITS OF E-06 μCi/mL (kBq/L)	%
			^{90}Sr	^{137}Cs	^{60}Co	^{3}H[a]	CG[b]
C-2	4	Max.	2.70 (0.10)	.11 (0.004)	.54 (0.020)	3.73 (0.14)	
		Min.	0.54 (0.02)	.05 (0.002)	.05 (0.002)	0.14 (0.005)	
		Avg.	1.30 (0.05)	.07 (0.003)	.20 (0.008)	1.42 (0.05)	0.48
C-3	4	Max.	2.97 (0.11)	.22 (0.008)	.14 (0.005)	3.62 (0.13)	
		Min.	0.27 (0.01)	.03 (0.001)	.05 (0.002)	0.14 (0.005)	
		Avg.	1.44 (0.05)	.12 (0.004)	.10 (0.004)	1.64 (0.06)	0.54
C-5[c]	4	Max.	5.41 (0.20)	.19 (0.007)	.22 (0.008)	2.03 (0.08)	
		Min.	0.54 (0.02)	.05 (0.002)	.08 (0.003)	0.68 (0.03)	
		Avg.	3.11 (0.12)	.14 (0.005)	.16 (0.006)	1.20 (0.04)	1.08
W-1[d]	12	Max.	116 (4.29)	43.3 (1.60)	91.9 (3.40)	1080 (40)	
		Min.	17.6 (0.65)	1.7 (0.06)	10.8 (0.40)	16 (0.6)	
		Avg.	61.9 (2.29)	10.0 (0.37)	32.9 (1.22)	203 (7.5)	27.5

[a]Three tritium samples only from the river and Kingston Water Plant.

[b]Most restrictive concentration guide for each isotope used for calculating percent concentration guide. The method for calculating percent of concentration guide for a known mixture of radionuclides is given in DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, Annex A.(1)

[c]Kingston Water Plant.

[d]Mouth of White Oak Creek.

Table 12
URANIUM CONCENTRATION IN SURFACE STREAMS
1981

STATION NUMBER[a]	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-08 μCi/mL (Bq/L)			% CG[b]
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	
P-1	12	1.0 (0.37)	0.07 (0.03)	0.5 (0.19) ± 0.2	< 0.1
P-2	12	1.1 (0.41)	0.20 (0.07)	0.6 (0.22) ± 0.2	0.1
C-3	12	0.3 (0.11)	< 0.07 (0.03)	< 0.1 (0.04) ± 0.05	< 0.1
C-4	12	0.4 (0.15)	< 0.07 (0.03)	< 0.1 (0.04) ± 0.06	< 0.1
C-6	12	0.4 (0.15)	< 0.07 (0.03)	< 0.2 (0.04) ± 0.06	< 0.1
E-1	12	15 (5.6)	1.4 (0.52)	4.1 (1.5) ± 4.1	0.1
B-1	12	5.5 (2.0)	1.6 (0.59)	3.6 (1.3) ± 1.3	0.1

[a]See Figure 3.

[b]CG is 3 E-05 μCi/mL (11 E+02 Bg/L) for a mixture of uranium isotopes (DOE Manual, Appendix 0524, Annex A, Table II).

Table 13
DISCHARGES OF RADIOACTIVITY TO SURFACE STREAMS
1981

RADIONUCLIDE	QUANTITY DISCHARGED	
	Ci	UNITS OF E+10 Bq
^{137}Cs	0.23	0.85
^{60}Co	0.66	2.44
^{3}H	2,880	10,660
^{131}I	0.04	0.15
^{90}Sr	1.51	5.59
^{99}Tc	3.54	13.1
Uranium[a]	0.87	3.21
^{232}Th	0.008	0.03
Transuranics[b]	0.043	0.16

[a]Uranium of varying enrichments - curie quantities calculated using the appropriate specific activity for material released.

[b]Upper limit values based on alpha emitter analysis.

Table 14
LONG-LIVED GROSS BETA ACTIVITY IN RAINWATER
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF	
		E-08 μCi/mL[a]	Bq/L
		Perimeter Area[b]	
HP-31	42	2.5 ± 0.6	0.93 ± 0.2
HP-32	42	2.3 ± 0.7	0.85 ± 0.3
HP-33	44	3.1 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.3
HP-34	41	2.3 ± 0.7	0.85 ± 0.2
HP-35	43	2.5 ± 0.6	0.93 ± 0.2
HP-36	44	2.6 ± 0.7	0.96 ± 0.2
HP-37	42	2.5 ± 2.0	0.93 ± 0.6
HP-38	41	3.6 ± 1.0	1.3 ± 0.3
HP-39	45	2.9 ± 1.0	1.1 ± 0.5
Average		2.7 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 0.3
		Remote Area[c]	
HP-51	42	5.4 ± 1.8	2.0 ± 0.7
HP-52	38	3.7 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.3
HP-53	46	5.6 ± 1.6	2.1 ± 0.6
HP-55	35	3.4 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.3
HP-56	47	3.7 ± 1.0	1.4 ± 0.4
HP-57	39	4.8 ± 1.3	1.8 ± 0.5
HP-58	37	3.1 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.3
Average		4.2 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.4

[a] Weekly average concentration.

[b] See Figure 1.

[c] See Figure 2.

Table 15
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - WHITE OAK DAM
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cr	4	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
Zn	5	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.1	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	5	8.3	3.6	6.1 ± 1.7	10	61
Hg	5	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20

[a]Tennessee Steam Guidelines.

Table 16
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - MELTON HILL DAM
(Location C-2, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cr	4	< 0.0i	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
Zn	5	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.1	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	5	0.98	0.63	0.86 ± 0.1	10	8.6
Hg	6	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20

[a]Tennessee Steam Guidelines.

Table 17
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - ORGDP SANITARY WATER PUMPING STATION
(Location C-3, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
Cr	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
CN	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	12	0.6	< 0.1	< 0.3 ± 0.1	10	< 3
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^{=}$	12	36	19	25 ± 3	250	10
T.D.S.	12	240	110	170 ± 26	500	34
Zn	12	0.08	< 0.02	< 0.05 ± 0.01	0.1	< 50
F^-	12	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	1	< 10
Hg	12	0.01	< 0.001	< 0.002 ± 0.002	0.005	< 40
Ni	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.1	< 10

[a]Tennessee Steam Guidelines.

Table 18
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - ORGDP RECIRCULATING WATER PUMPING STATION
(Location C-4, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002 ± 0.0002	0.01	< 20
Cr	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
CN	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	12	0.6	0.1	0.32 ± 0.08	10	3
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^{=}$	12	32	21	27 ± 2	250	11
T.D.S.	12	250	150	180 ± 21	500	36
Zn	12	0.1	0.03	0.07 ± 0.02	0.1	70
F^-	12	0.3	< 0.1	< 0.1 ± 0.04	1	< 13
Hg	12	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20
Ni	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.1	< 10

[a]Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 19
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - CLINCH RIVER DOWNSTREAM OF ORGDP
(Location C-6, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
Cr	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
CN	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	12	0.5	< 0.1	< 0.3 ± 0.09	10	< 3
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^{=}$	12	31	22	26 ± 2	250	11
T.D.S.	12	210	110	170 ± 19	500	34
Zn	12	0.03	< 0.02	< 0.02 ± 0.002	0.1	< 20
F^-	12	0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1 ± 0.01	1	< 10
Hg	12	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20
Ni	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.1	< 10

[a]Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 20
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - EAST FORK POPLAR CREEK
(Location E-1, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
Cl^-	12	17	< 2	< 10 ± 6	250	< 4
Cr	12	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
F^-	12	1.7	0.6	1.2 ± 0.3	1	120
Hg	12	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.002 ± 0.001	0.005	< 40
$NO_3(N)$	12	3.6	0.5	3.0 ± 0.8	10	30
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^=$	12	71	38	52 ± 10	250	21
T.D.S.	12	260	170	230 ± 30	500	46
Zn	12	0.07	< 0.02	< 0.04 ± 0.02	0.1	< 40

[a]Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 21
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - BEAR CREEK
(Location B-1, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L			STD.[a]	% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE		
Cd	12	0.005	< 0.002	< 0.002 ± 0.001	0.01	< 20
Cl^-	12	12	< 2	< 5 ± 4	250	< 2
F^-	12	0.5	< 0.1	< 0.3 ± 0.1	1	< 30
$NO_3(N)$	12	27	1.2	15 ± 10	10	150
Zn	12	0.08	< 0.02	< 0.03 ± 0.02	0.1	< 30
SO_4	12	28	< 10	< 16 ± 6	250	< 6

[a]Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 22
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - POPLAR CREEK ABOVE BLAIR BRIDGE
(Location P-1, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002 ± 0.0002	0.01	< 20
Cr	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
CN	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	12	2	0.2	0.8 ± 0.3	10	8
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^{=}$	12	86	39	52 ± 10	250	21
T.D.S.	12	330	140	250 ± 41	500	50
Zn	12	0.2	< 0.02	< 0.04 ± 0.03	0.1	< 40
F^-	12	0.5	0.1	0.3 ± 0.07	1	30
Hg	12	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20
Ni	12	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01 ± 0.002	0.1	< 10

[a]Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 23
CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA - POPLAR CREEK NEAR CLINCH RIVER
(Location P-2, Figure 3)
1981

SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	CONCENTRATION, mg/L				% STD.
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	STD.[a]	
Cd	12	0.004	< 0.002	< 0.002 ± 0.0004	0.01	< 20
Cr	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
CN	12	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.01	< 20
$NO_3(N)$	12	1	0.3	0.6 ± 0.1	10	6
Pb	12	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05	< 20
$SO_4^=$	12	66	30	40 ± 7	250	16
T.D.S.	12	330	120	200 ± 38	500	40
Zn	12	0.3	< 0.02	< 0.1 ± 0.05	0.1	< 100
F^-	12	0.3	< 0.1	< 0.2 ± 0.04	1	< 18
Hg	12	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 20
Ni	12	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01 ± 0.002	0.1	< 10

[a] Tennessee Stream Guidelines.

Table 24
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) EXPERIENCE
1981

DISCHARGE POINT	EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY AVERAGE mg/L	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY MAXIMUM mg/L	PERCENTAGE OF MEASUREMENTS IN COMPLIANCE
ORNL				
001				
(White Oak Creek)	Dissolved Oxygen (min.)	5	--	100
	Dissolved Solids	--	2000	92
	Oil and Grease	10	15	92
	Chromium (Total)	--	0.05	98
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	99
002				
(Melton Branch)	Chromium (Total)	--	0.05	100
	Dissolved Solids	--	2000	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	99
003				
(Main Sanitary	Ammonia (N)	--	5	17
Treatment Facility)	BOD	--	20	60
	Chlorine Residual	--	0.5 - 2.0	93
	Fecal Coliform Bact. (No/100 mL)	200[b]	400[c]	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Suspended Solids	--	30	100
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)	--	0.5	94
004				
(7900 Area Sanitary	BOD	--	30	No Discharges
Treatment Facility)	Chlorine Residual	--	0.5 - 2.0	From This
	Fecal Coliform Bact. (No/100 mL)	200[b]	400[c]	Facility
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	
	Suspended Solids	--	30	
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)	--	0.5	
Y-12 PLANT				
001				
(Kerr Hollow	Dissolved Solids	--	2000	100
Quarry)	Lithium	--	5	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Suspended Solids	--	50	100
	Zirconium	--	3	100

Table 24
(CONTINUED)

DISCHARGE POINT	EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY AVERAGE mg/L	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY MAXIMUM mg/L	PERCENTAGE OF MEASUREMENTS IN COMPLIANCE
002 (Rogers Quarry)	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Suspended Solids[a]	30	50	100
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)[a]	--	0.5	100
003 (New Hope Pond)	Ammonia (N)	--	1.6	100
	Chromium	0.05	0.08	100
	Dissolved Oxygen (min.)	5	--	100
	Dissolved Solids	--	2000	100
	Fluoride	1.5	2.0	92
	Lithium	--	5	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Phosphate (as MBAS)	5	8	100
	Suspended Solids[a]	--	20	100
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)[a]	--	0.5	100
	Total Nitrogen (N)	--	20	100
	Zinc	0.1	0.2	96
004 (Bear Creek)	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 8.5	100
ORGDP				
001 (K-1700 Discharge)	Aluminum	--	1.0	92
	Chromium (Total)	0.05	0.08	100
	Nitrate	--	20	100
	Suspended Solids	30	50	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH(pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	98
002 (K-1410 Metal Plating Facility)	Cyanide	--	None Detectable	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
004 (K-1131) Steam Condensate Discharge)	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Flow (MGD)	0.005	0.008	100

Table 24
(CONTINUED)

DISCHARGE POINT	EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY AVERAGE mg/L	EFFLUENT LIMITS DAILY MAXIMUM mg/L	PERCENTAGE OF MEASUREMENTS IN COMPLIANCE
005				
(K-1203 Sanitary Treatment Facility)	Ammonia (N)	5[b]	7[c]	100
	BOD	15[b]	20[c]	99
	Chlorine Residual	--	0.5 - 2.0	99
	Dissolved Oxygen (min.)	5	--	100
	Fecal Coliform Bact. (No/100mL)	200[b]	400[c]	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Suspended Solids	30[b]	45[c]	99
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)	--	0.5	99
006				
(K-1007B Holding Pond)	COD	20	25	100
	Chromium	--	0.05	100
	Dissolved Oxygen (min.)	5	--	100
	Fluoride	1.0	1.5	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100
	Suspended Solids[a]	30	50	100
007				
(K-901A Holding Pond)	Chromium (Total)	--	0.05	100
	Fluoride	1.0	1.5	100
	Oil and Grease	10	15	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 10	100
	Suspended Solids	30	50	100
008[d]				
(K-710 Sanitary Treatment Facility)	BOD	30[b]	45[c]	No Discharges From This Facility
	Suspended Solids	30[b]	45[c]	
	Fecal Coliform Bact. (No/100 mL)	200[b]	400[c]	
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	
	Chlorine Residual	--	0.5 - 2.0	
	Settleable Solids (mL/L)	--	0.1	
009				
(Sanitary Water Plant)	Suspended Solids[a]	30	50	100
	Aluminum	--	250	100
	Sulphate	--	1400	100
	pH (pH units)	--	6.0 - 9.0	100

[a]Limit applicable only during normal operations. Not applicable during periods of increased discharge due to surface run-off resulting from precipitation.

[b]Monthly Average.

[c]Weekly Average.

[d]Due to the small flow rates at the K-710 Sanitary Treatment Facility, a rapid sand filter was installed May 1, 1978 eliminating the surface discharge and monitoring requirements.

Table 25
CONCENTRATION OF ^{131}I IN MILK[a]
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-09 μCi/mL (Bq/L)			COMPARISON WITH STANDARD[c]
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM[b]	AVERAGE	
		Immediate Environs[d]			
1	22	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	Range I
2	47	0.7 (0.026)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.01	Range I
3	46	0.5 (0.019)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.01	Range I
4	47	1.1 (0.04)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.03	Range I
5	46	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	Range I
6	43	3.6 (0.133)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.15	Range I
7	47	0.8 (0.030)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.02	Range I
Average				<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.04	
		Remote Environs[e]			
51	6	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	Range I
52	3	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	Range I
53	3	0.5 (0.019)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.06	Range I
56	7	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017)	Range I
58	4	1.3 (0.048)	<0.45 (0.017)	<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.48	Range I
Average				<0.45 (0.017) ± 0.10	

[a]Raw milk samples, except for Station 2 which is a dairy.

[b]Minimum detectable concentration of ^{131}I is 0.45 E-09 μCi/mL (0.017 Bq/L).

[c]Applicable FRC standard, assuming 1 liter per day intake:
Range I 0 to 1 E-08 μCi/mL (0.37 Bq/L) — Adequate surveillance required to confirm calculated intakes.
Range II 1 E-08 μCi/mL (0.37 Bq/L) to 1 E-07 μCi/mL (3.7 Bq/L) — Active surveillance required.
Range III 1 E-07 μCi/mL (3.7 Bq/L) to 1 E-06 μCi/mL (37 Bq/L) — Positive control action required.
Note: Upper limit of Range II can be considered the concentration guide.

[d]See Figure 6.

[e]See Figure 7.

Table 26
CONCENTRATION OF ^{90}Sr IN MILK[a]
1981

STATION NUMBER	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	UNITS OF E-09 μCi/mL (Bq/L)			COMPARISON WITH STANDARD[c]
		MAXIMUM	MINIMUM[b]	AVERAGE	
			Immediate Environs[d]		
1	17	1.8 (0.066)	0.9 (0.033)	1.3 (0.048) ± 0.13	Range I
2	47	2.4 (0.089)	0.8 (0.030)	1.4 (0.052) ± 0.11	Range I
3	44	3.2 (0.118)	0.7 (0.026)	1.5 (0.056) ± 0.14	Range I
4	44	4.5 (0.166)	1.1 (0.041)	2.2 (0.081) ± 0.24	Range I
5	46	2.6 (0.096)	0.7 (0.026)	1.5 (0.056) ± 0.12	Range I
6	40	3.2 (0.118)	0.8 (0.030)	1.7 (0.063) ± 0.18	Range I
7	46	2.6 (0.096)	0.7 (0.026)	1.6 (0.059) ± 0.11	Range I
Average				1.6 (0.059) ± 0.15	
			Remote Environs[e]		
51	6	2.4 (0.089)	0.7 (0.026)	1.5 (0.056) ± 0.51	Range I
52	3	1.3 (0.048)	1.1 (0.041)	1.2 (0.044) ± 0.16	Range I
53	3	0.9 (0.033)	0.5 (0.019)	0.8 (0.030) ± 0.27	Range I
56	7	1.6 (0.059)	0.7 (0.026)	1.0 (0.037) ± 0.29	Range I
58	4	2.2 (0.081)	1.1 (0.041)	1.7 (0.063) ± 0.46	Range I
Average				1.3 (0.048) ± 0.36	

[a]Raw milk samples, except for Station 2 which is a dairy.

[b]Minimum detectable concentration of ^{90}Sr is 0.5 E-09 μCi/mL (0.019 Bq/L).

[c]Applicable FRC standard, assuming 1 liter per day intake:

Range I 0 to 2 E-08 μCi/mL (0.74 Bq/L) — Adequate surveillance required to confirm calculated intakes.

Range II 2 E-08 μCi/mL (0.74 Bq/L) to 2 E-07 μCi/mL (7.4 Bq/L) — Active surveillance required.

Range III 2 E-07 μCi/mL (7.4 Bq/L) to 2 E-06 μCi/mL (74 Bq/L) — Positive control action required.

Note: Upper limit of Range II can be considered the concentration guide.

[d]See Figure 6.

[e]See Figure 7.

Table 27
RADIONUCLIDE CONTENT IN CLINCH RIVER FISH
ALPHA EMITTERS
1981
pCi/kg (mBq/kg) Wet Weight

LOCATION	Species[a]	^{239}Pu	^{238}Pu	^{238}U	^{235}U	^{234}U
CRM 5.0	Bass	0.011 (0.4)	0.0076 (0.3)	0.26 (10)	0.14 (5)	0.26 (9)
	Blue Gill	0.008 (0.3)	0.057 (2.1)	0.77 (29)	0.41 (15)	1.82 (68)
	Carp	0.011 (0.4)	0.011 (0.4)	2.21 (82)	0.81 (30)	15.8 (590)
	Shad	0.014 (0.5)	0.014 (0.5)	7.80 (290)	1.61 (59)	11.9 (440)
	Crappie	0.011 (0.4)	0.0070 (0.3)	0.27 (10)	0.12 (4)	0.53 (19)
CRM 10.0	Bass	0.011 (0.4)	0.011 (0.4)	0.25 (9)	0.16 (6)	0.68 (25)
	Blue Gill	0.032 (1.2)	0.041 (1.5)	12.2 (450)	2.15 (80)	19.8 (740)
	Carp	0.011 (0.4)	0.012 (0.4)	1.02 (38)	0.67 (25)	2.32 (86)
	Shad	0.10 (3.7)	0.023 (0.9)	4.41 (160)	1.56 (58)	6.89 (260)
	Crappie	0.014 (0.5)	0.0018 (0.07)	0.27 (10)	0.25 (9)	1.02 (38)
CRM 12.0	Bass	0.011 (0.4)	0.0038 (0.1)	0.29 (11)	0.026 (1)	0.49 (18)
	Blue Gill	0.081 (3.0)	0.10 (3.7)	3.24 (120)	2.23 (83)	13.8 (510)
	Carp	0.025 (0.9)	0.018 (0.7)	0.28 (11)	0.066 (3)	0.42 (16)
	Shad	0.064 (2.4)	0.0092 (0.3)	5.51 (200)	0.50 (19)	8.72 (320)
	Crappie	0.020 (0.7)	0.0070 (0.2)	0.98 (36)	0.17 (6)	1.44 (53)
CRM 20.8[b]	Bass	0.026 (1.0)	0.011 (0.4)	0.79 (29)	0.23 (9)	1.78 (66)
	Blue Gill	0.028 (1.0)	0.053 (2.0)	0.69 (26)	0.38 (14)	1.94 (72)
	Carp	0.014 (0.5)	0.021 (0.8)	0.46 (17)	0.28 (10)	1.12 (42)
	Shad	0.17 (6.0)	0.073 (3.0)	3.72 (140)	0.50 (19)	5.92 (220)
	Crappie	0.007 (0.3)	0.007 (0.3)	0.46 (17)	0.11 (4)	1.12 (42)
CRM 25.0	Bass	0.019 (0.7)	0.008 (0.3)	0.95 (35)	0.42 (15)	1.51 (56)
	Blue Gill	0.008 (0.3)	0.012 (0.4)	1.17 (44)	0.89 (33)	3.16 (120)
	Carp	0.007 (0.3)	0.007 (0.3)	1.44 (53)	0.42 (16)	5.62 (210)
	Shad	0.005 (0.2)	0.007 (0.3)	0.69 (26)	0.60 (22)	0.78 (29)
	Crappie	0.007 (0.3)	0.007 (0.3)	1.82 (68)	0.56 (21)	2.56 (95)

[a]Composite of ten fish in each species.

[b]Average of quarterly samples.

Table 28
RADIONUCLIDE CONTENT IN CLINCH RIVER FISH
BETA-GAMMA EMITTERS
1981
pCi/kg (mBq/kg) Wet Weight

LOCATION	Species[a]	^{137}Cs		^{60}Co		^{90}Sr		% MPI[b]
CRM 5.0	Bass	98.3	(3.6)	3.78	(0.14)	3.7	(0.14)	0.04
	Blue Gill	72.9	(2.7)	4.05	(0.15)	6.5	(0.24)	0.05
	Carp	77.2	(2.9)	3.86	(0.14)	18.3	(0.68)	0.14
	Shad	119	(4.4)	6.89	(0.26)	24.3	(0.90)	0.18
	Crappie	98.3	(3.6)	3.51	(0.13)	8.1	(0.30)	0.07
CRM 10.0	Bass	106	(3.9)	1.51	(0.056)	3.8	(0.14)	0.04
	Blue Gill	56.7	(2.1)	3.24	(0.12)	8.9	(0.33)	0.07
	Carp	63.2	(2.3)	3.51	(0.13)	26.7	(0.99)	0.19
	Shad	119	(4.4)	5.97	(0.22)	14.7	(0.54)	0.12
	Crappie	70.2	(2.6)	2.11	(0.078)	3.9	(0.14)	0.03
CRM 12.0	Bass	215	(8.0)	2.27	(0.084)	4.5	(0.17)	0.05
	Blue Gill	72.9	(2.7)	8.10	(0.30)	6.9	(0.26)	0.05
	Carp	25.9	(0.96)	2.11	(0.078)	4.6	(0.17)	0.03
	Shad	96.4	(3.6)	4.59	(0.17)	3.8	(0.14)	0.03
	Crappie	98.2	(3.6)	1.76	(0.065)	4.6	(0.17)	0.04
CRM 20.8[c]	Bass	878	(33)	140	(5.18)	27.6	(1.0)	0.29
	Blue Gill	1371	(51)	51.0	(1.89)	172.5	(6.4)	1.35
	Carp	270	(10)	27.4	(1.01)	52.3	(1.9)	0.39
	Shad	693	(26)	53.2	(1.97)	32.6	(1.2)	0.30
	Crappie	770	(29)	9.48	(0.35)	34.1	(1.3)	0.32
CRM 25.0	Bass	10.6	(0.39)	3.78	(0.14)	4.54	(0.17)	0.03
	Blue Gill	12.6	(0.47)	2.43	(0.09)	17.8	(0.66)	0.13
	Carp	3.51	(0.13)	3.51	(0.13)	56.2	(2.08)	0.39
	Shad	5.05	(0.19)	4.59	(0.17)	8.26	(0.31)	0.06
	Crappie	10.9	(0.40)	3.51	(0.13)	3.51	(0.13)	0.03

[a]Composite of ten fish in each species.

[b]Percent maximum permissible intake for all radionuclides in both Table 27 and 28. See text for definition of maximum permissible intake.

[c]Average of quarterly samples.

Table 29
MERCURY CONTENT IN CLINCH RIVER FISH
1981

LOCATION	Species[a]	CONCENTRATION ng/g - Wet Weight	% A.L.[b]
CRM 5.0	Bass	133	27
	Blue Gill	86	17
	Carp	289	58
	Shad	73	15
	Crappie	401	80
CRM 10.0	Bass	237	47
	Blue Gill	257	51
	Carp	487	97
	Shad	44	9
	Crappie	131	26
CRM 12.0	Bass	43	9
	Blue Gill	18	4
	Carp	575	115
	Shad	23	5
	Crappie	102	20
CRM 20.8[c]	Bass	144	29
	Blue Gill	117	23
	Carp	108	22
	Shad	44	9
	Crappie	253	51
CRM 25.0	Bass	16	3
	Blue Gill	57	11
	Carp	124	25
	Shad	12	2
	Crappie	30	6

[a]Composite of ten fish in each species.

[b]Percent of proposed FDA mercury in fish action level of 500 ng/g.

[c]Average of quarterly samples.

Table 30
^{137}Cs CONCENTRATION IN DEER SAMPLES
1981
pCi/g (Bq/kg) - Wet Weight

SAMPLE NUMBER	MUSCLE		LIVER		SAMPLE NUMBER	MUSCLE		LIVER	
D-1	0.078	(2.9)	-	-[a]	D-25	0.16	(6.0)	0.051	(1.9)
D-2P	-	-	-	-	D-26	0.15	(5.6)	0.059	(2.2)
D-3	-	-	-	-	D-27	0.95	(35.0)	0.22	(8.2)
D-4	0.027	(1.0)	0.059	(2.2)	D-28	0.35	(13.0)	0.17	(6.3)
D-5	0.062	(2.3)	0.049	(1.8)	D-29	0.62	(23.0)	0.14	(5.2)
D-6	0.032	(1.2)	-	-	D-30	0.17	(6.3)	0.15	(5.6)
D-7	0.035	(1.3)	-	-	D-31	0.19	(7.0)	0.084	(3.1)
D-8P	0.016	(0.6)	-	-	D-32	0.086	(3.2)	0.054	(2.0)
D-9	0.027	(1.0)	-	-	D-33	0.27	(10.0)	0.65	(24.0)
D-10	0.030	(1.1)	-	-	D-34	NA	NA	0.059	(2.2)
D-11	0.024	(0.9)	NA	NA	D-35[d]	0.20	(7.4)	0.065	(2.4)
D-12	-	-	0.030	(1.1)	D-36	0.25	(9.1)	0.12	(4.4)
D-13	0.041	(1.5)	-	-	D-37	0.057	(2.1)	0.016	(0.6)
D-14	0.027	(1.0)	-	-	D-38	0.14	(5.2)	0.059	(2.2)
D-16[b]	0.92	(34)	0.38	(14.0)	D-39	0.19	(7.0)	0.068	(2.5)
D-17	0.032	(1.2)	-	-	D-41	0.20	(7.4)	0.043	(1.6)
BL-1	0.11	(4.2)	-	-	D-42	0.095	(3.5)	0.076	(2.8)
BL-2	-	-	-	-	D-43	0.27	(10.0)	-	-
D-22	0.045	(1.8)	0.019	(0.7)	D-44	0.14	(5.2)	0.051	(1.9)
D-23[c]	0.016	(0.6)	0.014	(0.5)	D-45	0.11	(4.2)	0.027	(1.0)
D-24	0.070	(2.6)	0.043	(1.6)	D-46	0.073	(2.7)	-	-

[a] Entries with a dash (-) indicate a concentration level <0.01 pCi/g (<0.4 Bq/kg).

[b] Also 0.005 pCi/g (0.2 Bq/kg) ^{60}Co in muscle; 0.2 pCi/g (7.4 Bq/kg) ^{60}Co in liver.

[c] Also 0.02 pCi/g (0.7 Bq/kg) ^{60}Co in muscle.

[d] Also 0.01 pCi/g (0.4 Bq/kg) ^{60}Co in muscle.

NOTE: NA - Not Analyzed.

Table 31
VEGETATION SAMPLING DATA
1981

STATION NUMBER[a]	F^- CONCENTRATION[b] µg/g (ppm)		U (TOTAL) CONCENTRATION[b] µg/g (ppm)	
	GRASS	PINE NEEDLES	GRASS	PINE NEEDLES
1	9	-	0.6	-
2	7	< 5	1.6	0.2
3	< 5	7	1.2	0.3
4	5	7	0.7	0.2
5	6	7	0.7	0.5
6	< 5	< 5	0.6	0.3
7	5	5	0.5	0.2
8	8	10	0.6	0.4
9	7	< 5	0.7	0.2
10	5	6	0.5	0.1
11	11	9	1.7	1.1
12	10	7	0.4	0.4
13	13	-	1.1	-
14	8	-	-	-
15	11	-	-	-
16	17	-	-	-
17	12	-	-	-

[a]See Figure 1.

[b]Average concentration of two sample collections, January and July. Analytical results are on a dry weight basis.

NOTE: Applicable guides for flora have not been established. However, for comparison the **American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal** for January-February 1969 (pp. 98-101) states that dairy cattle is the species of livestock most sensitive to fluorides in grasses. For comparative purposes the following fluoride concentrations and their effect on dairy cattle are given.

30 ppm	-	no adverse effects
30 to 40 ppm	-	borderline chronic
40 to 60 ppm	-	moderate chronic
60 to 110 ppm	-	severe chronic
above 250 ppm	-	acute

Table 32
RADIOACTIVITY IN GRASS SAMPLES FROM PERIMETER AND REMOTE MONITORING STATIONS
1981
Units of pCi/g (Bq/kg) - Dry Weight

SAMPLING LOCATION[a]	^{90}Sr	^{137}Cs	^{239}Pu	^{238}Pu	^{238}U	^{235}U	^{234}U
			Perimeter[b]				
HP-31	0.38 (14)	0.18 (6.7)	0.0089 (0.33)	0.0018 (0.07)	0.09 (3.5)	0.015 (0.56)	0.17 (6.3)
HP-32	0.43 (16)	0.07 (2.6)	0.0008 (0.03)	0.0004 (0.02)	0.11 (4.1)	0.021 (0.78)	0.39 (14)
HP-33	0.47 (17)	0.10 (3.7)	0.0022 (0.08)	0.0007 (0.03)	0.04 (1.5)	0.005 (0.19)	0.07 (2.6)
HP-34	0.57 (21)	0.08 (3.0)	0.0010 (0.04)	0.0011 (0.04)	0.03 (1.1)	0.010 (0.37)	0.07 (2.6)
HP-35	0.47 (17)	0.06 (2.2)	0.0017 (0.06)	0.0008 (0.03)	0.05 (1.9)	0.014 (0.52)	0.12 (4.3)
HP-36	0.82 (30)	0.04 (1.5)	0.0008 (0.03)	0.0007 (0.03)	0.03 (1.1)	0.010 (0.37)	0.05 (1.9)
HP-37	0.55 (20)	0.15 (5.6)	0.0005 (0.02)	0.0007 (0.03)	0.02 (0.7)	0.006 (0.22)	0.05 (1.9)
HP-38	0.49 (18)	0.35 (13.0)	0.0014 (0.05)	0.0009 (0.04)	0.03 (1.1)	0.007 (0.26)	0.05 (1.9)
HP-39	0.62 (23)	0.15 (5.6)	0.0026 (0.10)	0.0018 (0.07)	0.08 (3.0)	0.071 (2.6)	0.16 (5.9)
Average	0.58 (21)	0.13 (4.8)	0.0023 (0.09)	0.0011 (0.04)	0.08 (3.0)	0.020 (0.74)	0.16 (5.9)
			Remote[c]				
HP-51	0.95 (35)	0.09 (3.3)	0.0049 (0.18)	0.0005 (0.02)	0.03 (1.1)	0.018 (0.67)	0.06 (2.3)
HP-52	0.76 (28)	0.13 (4.8)	0.0002 (0.01)	0.0049 (0.18)	0.06 (2.2)	0.054 (2.0)	0.17 (6.3)
HP-53	0.95 (35)	0.08 (3.0)	0.0002 (0.01)	0.0022 (0.08)	0.03 (1.1)	0.013 (0.48)	0.05 (1.9)
HP-55	0.59 (22)	NA NA	0.0001 (<0.01)	<0.0001 (<0.01)	0.03 (1.1)	0.025 (0.93)	0.07 (2.6)
HP-56	0.62 (23)	NA NA	0.0041 (0.15)	0.0002 (0.01)	0.10 (4.0)	0.013 (0.48)	0.08 (3.0)
HP-57	0.38 (14)	NA NA	0.0014 (0.05)	0.0002 (0.01)	0.01 (0.4)	0.003 (0.11)	0.03 (1.1)
HP-58	0.54 (20)	0.06 (2.2)	0.0014 (0.05)	0.0008 (0.03)	0.02 (1.0)	0.017 (0.63)	0.07 (2.6)
Average	0.68 (25)	0.09 (3.4)	0.0018 (0.06)	0.0017 (0.06)	0.04 (1.5)	0.020 (0.74)	0.07 (2.6)

[a]See Figures 1 and 2.

[b]Average of two samples.

[c]One sample.

NOTE: NA - Not analyzed.

Table 33
RADIOACTIVITY IN SOIL SAMPLES FROM PERIMETER AND REMOTE MONITORING STATIONS
1981
Units of pCi/g (Bq/kg) - Dry Weight

SAMPLING LOCATION[a]	^{90}Sr	^{137}Cs	^{234}U	^{235}U	^{238}Pu	^{239}Pu	^{238}U
			Perimeter[b]				
HP-31	0.3 (11)	1.0 (37)	0.7 (26)	0.05 (1.9)	0.012 (0.44)	0.03 (1.1)	0.3 (11)
HP-32	0.2 (7)	1.1 (41)	1.3 (48)	0.03 (1.1)	0.002 (0.07)	0.03 (1.1)	0.9 (33)
HP-33	0.4 (15)	1.1 (41)	0.4 (15)	0.02 (0.7)	0.002 (0.07)	0.04 (1.5)	0.2 (7)
HP-34	0.5 (19)	1.5 (56)	0.3 (11)	0.02 (0.7)	0.002 (0.07)	0.03 (1.1)	0.3 (11)
HP-35	0.4 (15)	1.7 (63)	0.5 (19)	0.03 (1.1)	0.003 (0.11)	0.04 (1.5)	0.4 (15)
HP-36	0.2 (7)	1.4 (52)	0.5 (19)	0.03 (1.1)	0.004 (0.15)	0.02 (0.7)	0.3 (11)
HP-37	0.7 (26)	0.8 (30)	0.2 (7)	0.02 (0.7)	0.004 (0.15)	0.04 (1.5)	0.3 (11)
HP-38	0.6 (22)	0.9 (33)	0.3 (11)	0.02 (0.7)	0.003 (0.11)	0.04 (1.5)	0.2 (7)
HP-39	0.2 (7)	2.3 (85)	0.9 (33)	0.06 (2.2)	0.004 (0.15)	0.06 (2.2)	0.9 (33)
Average	0.4 (15)	1.3 (48)	0.6 (22)	0.03 (1.1)	0.004 (0.15)	0.04 (1.5)	0.4 (15)
			Remote[c]				
HP-51	0.3 (11)	0.5 (19)	0.4 (15)	0.04 (1.5)	0.002 (0.07)	0.02 (0.7)	0.4 (15)
HP-52	0.2 (7)	1.0 (37)	0.5 (19)	0.03 (1.1)	0.007 (0.26)	0.08 (3.0)	0.3 (11)
HP-53	0.3 (11)	1.9 (70)	0.9 (33)	0.04 (1.5)	0.008 (0.30)	0.19 (7.0)	0.7 (26)
HP-55	0.1 (4)	1.4 (52)	0.6 (22)	0.04 (1.5)	0.002 (0.07)	0.05 (1.9)	0.5 (19)
HP-56	0.2 (7)	0.8 (30)	0.7 (26)	0.05 (1.9)	0.007 (0.26)	0.09 (3.3)	0.6 (22)
HP-57	0.3 (11)	3.5 (130)	0.5 (19)	0.03 (1.1)	0.001 (0.04)	0.06 (2.2)	0.4 (15)
HP-58	0.1 (4)	0.8 (30)	0.3 (11)	0.01 (0.4)	0.005 (0.19)	0.04 (1.5)	0.3 (11)
Average	0.2 (7)	1.4 (52)	0.6 (22)	0.03 (1.1)	0.004 (0.15)	0.08 (3.0)	0.5 (19)

[a]See Figures 1 and 2.

[b]Average of two samples.

[c]One sample.

Table 34
STREAM SEDIMENT SAMPLES
July/November 1981
Average Concentration (μg/g dry weight basis)

STATION	U	Hg	Pb	Ni	Cu	Zn	Cr	Mn	Al
CS1	1	1	29	28	21	72	34	2135	36,000
PS2	7	6	40	70	78	120	110	580	60,000
PS5	6	4	37	57	36	126	84	825	50,000
PS6	11	10	44	83	51	187	221	824	50,000
PS9	3	3	30	44	31	85	49	1380	40,000
PS10	18	10	24	56	33	109	52	502	30,000
PS12	9	6	28	78	42	121	52	710	30,000
PS15	31	10	54	112	86	181	93	1050	60,000
PS17	13	3	35	97	38	103	47	1058	30,000
PS18	6	6	24	42	23	78	37	422	30,000
PS19	12	9	36	39	48	110	63	754	40,000
PS21	1	3	17	19	16	41	23	571	20,000
PS22	7	2	42	73	101	125	98	562	70,000
CS20	11	46	31	71	53	135	108	670	40,000

Table 35
SUMMARY OF THE ESTIMATED RADIATION DOSE TO AN ADULT INDIVIDUAL DURING 1981 AT LOCATIONS OF MAXIMUM EXPOSURE

PATHWAY	LOCATION	DOSE IN MILLIREM (μSv)			
		TOTAL BODY		CRITICAL ORGAN	
Gaseous Effluents		0.38	(3.8)	9.2	(92) (lung)
Inhalation plus direct radiation from air and ground	Nearest resident to site boundary				
Terrestrial food chains	Milk sampling stations (^{90}Sr)	0.02	(0.2)	2.7	(27) (bone)
Liquid Effluents					
Aquatic food chains	Clinch-Tennessee River System (^{90}Sr)	2.9	(29)	71	(710) (bone)
Drinking water[a]	Kingston, Tennessee (^{90}Sr)	0.22	(2.2)	10.9	(109) (bone)
Direct radiation along water, shores, and mud flats[b]	Downstream from White Oak Creek near experimental Cs field plots	5.9	(59)	5.9	(59) (total body)

[a] Based on the analysis of raw (unprocessed) water.

[b] Assuming a residence time of 240 hr/yr.

NOTE: Average background total body dose in the U.S.[30] is 106 mrem/yr (1060 μSv/yr).

REFERENCE

(1) DOE Manual Chapter 0524.

(2) Tennessee Air Pollution Control Regulations, Department of Public Health, Division of Air Pollution, Nashville, Tennessee, June 1974 and amendments.

(3) T. W. Oakes, K. E. Shank, and C. E. Easterly, "Natural and Man-Made Radionuclide Concentrations in Tennessee Soil" in *Proceedings of the Health Physics Society Tenth Midyear Topical Symposium,* Saratoga Springs, New York, October 11-13, 1976, pp. 322-333.

(4) Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA Methods Development and Quality Assurance Laboratory, NERC, Cincinnati, Ohio.

(5) Guidelines for Effluent Criteria for Sewage and Industrial Wastewater, Tennessee Department of Public Health, Division of Water Quality Control, January 1973.

(6) General Water Quality Criteria for the Definition and Control of Pollution in the Waters of Tennessee, Tennessee Water Quality Control Board, Department of Public Health, Nashville, Tennessee, as amended December 1971.

(7) Background Material for the Development of Radiation Protection Standards, Staff Report of the Federal Radiation Council, Report No. 2, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, September 1961.

(8) P. Bryan and C. E. White, "An Economic Evaluation of the Commercial Fishing in the TVA Lakes of Alabama during 1956," *Proc. Twelfth Annual Conference Southeastern Assoc. of Game and Fish Commissioners,* 1958 (pp. 128-132).

(9) American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal, January - February 1969 (pp. 98-101).

(10) Plutonium and Other Transuranium Elements; Sources, Environmental Distribution and Biomedical Effects, U.S. AEC, WASH-1359, December 1974.

(11) F. Pasquill, *Atmospheric Diffusion,* D. V. Nostrand Co., Ltd., London, 1962.

(12) F. A. Gifford, Jr., *The Problem of Forecasting Dispersion in the Lower Atmosphere,* U.S. AEC, DTI, 1962.

(13) R. E. Moore et al., *AIRDOS-EPA: A Computerized Methodology for Estimating Environmental Concentrations and Dose to Man from Airborne Releases of Radionuclides,* EPA 520/1-79-009, December 1979.

(14) F. T. Binford, T. P. Hamrick, and B. H. Cope, *Some Techniques for Estimating the Results of the Emission of Radioactive Effluent from ORNL Stacks,* ORNL-TM-3187, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1970.

(15) (a) P. Voilleque, D. R. Adams, and J. B. Echo, "Transfer of Krypton-85 from Air to Grass," *Health Physics,* 19, 1970 (p. 835).

(b) D. F. Bunch (ed.), *Controlled Environmental Radioiodine Tests, Progress Report 2,* IDO-12053, August 1966.

(16) Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 2, Report of Committee II on Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation, Pergamon Press, London, 1959.

(17) Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 6, Pergamon Press, London, 1964.

(18) Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 10, Report of Committee IV on Evaluation of Radiation Doses to Body Tissues from Internal Contamination due to Occupational Exposure, Pergamon Press, London, 1968.

(19) Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection 10A, Report of Committee II on the Assessment of Internal Contamination Resulting from Recurrent or Prolonged Uptakes, Pergamon Press, London, 1971.

(20) Metabolism of Plutonium and Other Actinides, ICRP Publication 19, Report of Committee II, Pergamon Press, London, 1972.

(21) Report on Reference Man, ICRP Publication 23, Report of ICRP Task Group, W. S. Snyder, Chairman, Pergamon Press, London, 1975.

(22) G. J. Hine ad G. L. Brownell (eds.), *Radiation Dosimetry,* Academic Press, New York, 1956.

(23) K. Z. Morgan and J. E. Turner (eds.), *Principles of Radiation Protection,* Wiley, New York, 1967.

(24) W. S. Snyder, L. T. Dillman, M. R. Ford, and J. W. Poston, "Calculations of Absorbed Dose to a Man Immersed in an Infinite Cloud of Krypton-85," *Noble Gases Symposium,* Las Vegas, Nevada, September 24-28, 1973.

(25) M. R. Ford, Metabolism and Dosimetry Group, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, personal communication, March 1978.

(26) W. D. Turner, S. V. Kaye, and P. S. Rohwer, *EXREM and INREM Computer Codes for Estimating Radiation Doses to Populations from Construction of a Sea-Level Canal With Nuclear Explosives,* K-1752, CTC and ORNL, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, September 1968.

(27) D. K. Trubey and S. V. Kaye, *The EXREM III Computer Code for Estimating External Radiation Doses to Populations from Environmental Releases,* ORNL-TM-4322, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, December 1973.

(28) R. H. Strand and C. W. Miller, *Meteorological Data Bases Available for the United States Department of Energy Oak Ridge Reservation,* ORNL-TM-6358, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1978.

(29) Agricultural Statistics 1969, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1971 (p. 588).

(30) The Effects on Populations of Exposures to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation, Report of the Advisory Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations, U.S. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, Washington, 1972.

APPENDIX A
QUALITY ASSURANCE

Radiological

The Environmental Surveillance and Evaluation Section at Oak Ridge National Laboratory has initiated a quality assurance program to ensure that a high degree of accuracy and reliability is maintained in its surveillance activities. The program in effect at ORNL consists of quality control of techniques and procedures, and includes the establishment of a detailed written description of all activities pertaining to the Environmental Surveillance and Evaluation Section. This includes:

1. Operating procedures for each activity.
2. Inspection lists of operating and maintenance activities.
3. Check-off frequency lists for all quality assurance steps, such as schedules for equipment inspection and test control.
4. Documentation of compliance of quality assurance procedures.
5. Participation in intralaboratory and interlaboratory sample-exchange programs.
6. Evaluation of the adequacy of sample preparation work and data analysis.
7. Identification of the role, responsibilities, and authority of each staff member as related to quality assurance.

A schematic diagram showing a flow chart of this quality assurance program is given in Figure A1. A more detailed discussion of the ORNL QA program is given in Ref. (A1) and (A2).

Chemical

A Nuclear Division Committee on Environmental Analysis established an interlaboratory quality control program in 1977. The purpose of this program is to provide quality control data for environmental analysis within the Nuclear Division. A unified Environmental and Effluent Analysis Manual was issued in March of 1977 which currently contains 105 analytical procedures; EPA-certified analytical methods are used wherever possible.

All Nuclear Division analytical laboratories maintain internal measurement control programs that are part of planned and systematic actions taken to prevent incorrect results. Standard samples containing many parameters measured are purchased and submitted to the laboratories for analysis. Standard samples of known values are processed along with routine samples and the results are recorded and examined to determine if they fall within prescribed limited. Analytical results are transmitted to the Y-12 Plant Quality Division for satistical review and a semi-annual report is provided to the analytical laboratories.

A1. T. W. Oakes, K. E. Shank, and J. S. Eldridge, "Quality Assurance Applied to an Environmental Surveillance Program," Conference Proceedings of the 4th Joint Conference on Sensing of Environmental Pollutants, New Orleans, La., Nov. 6-11, 1977, p. 226.

A2. T. W. Oakes, K. E. Shank, and J. S. Eldridge, "Quality Assurance Procedures for Environmental Surveillance at ORNL," ORNL-5186, in preparation.



Figure A1
FLOW CHART OF QA PROGRAM

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Director, Division of Industrial and Radiological Health,
Tennessee State Health Department
Director, Tennessee State Health Department
Federal Activities Coordinator, EPA Region IV
Associated Press - Knoxville Bureau
Clinton Courier News
Knoxville Journal
Knoxville News-Sentinel
Oak Ridge Department of Public Health
Oak Ridger
Roane County News
Tennessee Environmental Health Services - Knoxville Office
United Press International - Knoxville Bureau

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations
(100, including external distribution)
Technical Information Center - 27
Union Carbide Corporation - Nuclear Division
R. C. Baker - 2
H. I. Cobert
P. C. Fourney
R. F. Hibbs
C. C. Hopkins - 3
G. W. Horde
G. R. Jasny
R. G. Jordan - 10
M. E. Mitchell - 20
T. W. Oakes - 45
H. Postma - 2
C. R. Richmond
M. Sanders - 35
P. R. Vanstrum - 2
C. W. Weber - 5
Y-12 Plant Records - 2
Y-12 Technical Information Office